arXiv:1701.01190v1 [cs.FL] 5 Jan 2017

On the number of gapped repeats with arbitrary gap

Roman Kolpakov
Lomonosov Moscow State University,
Dorodnicyn Computing Centre FRC CSC RAS,
Moscow, Russia
Email: foroman@mail.ru

Abstract

For any functions $f(x)$, $g(x)$ from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ we call repeats uvu such that $g(|u|) \leq |v| \leq f(|u|)$ as *f,g-gapped repeats*. We study the possible number of f,g-gapped repeats in words of fixed length n. For quite weak conditions on $f(x)$, $g(x)$ we obtain an upper bound on this number which is linear to n.

1 Introduction

Let $w = w[1]w[2]\dots w[n]$ be an arbitrary word of length $|w| = n$. A fragment $w[i]\cdots w[j]$ of w, where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, is called a *factor* of w and is denoted by $w[i..j]$. Note that this factor can be considered either as a word itself or as the fragment $w[i]\dots w[j]$ of w. So for factors we have two different notions of equality: factors can be equal as the same fragment of the word w or as the same word. To avoid this ambiguity, we use two different notations: if two factors u and v of w are the same word (the same fragment of w) we will write $u = v$ ($u \equiv v$). For any $i = 1,\dots,n$ the factor $w[1..i]$ ($w[i..n]$) is called a *prefix* (a *suffix*) of w. By positions in w we mean the order numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ of letters of the word w. For any factor $v \equiv w[i..j]$ of w the positions i and j are called *start position* of v and *end position* of v and denoted by $\mathrm{beg}(v)$ and $\mathrm{end}(v)$ respectively. For any two factors u, v of w the factor u *is contained* in v if $\mathrm{beg}(v) \leq \mathrm{beg}(u)$ and $\mathrm{end}(u) \leq \mathrm{end}(v)$. If some word u is equal to a factor v of w then v is called *an occurrence* of u in w.

We denote by $p(w)$ the minimal period of a word w and by $e(w)$ the ratio $|w|/p(w)$ which is called the *exponent* of w. A word is called *primitive* if its exponent is not an integer greater than 1. A word is called *periodic* if its exponent is greater than or equal to 2. Occurrences of periodic words are called *repetitions*. Repetitions are fundamental objects, due to their primary importance in word combinatorics [21] as well as in various applications, such as string matching



Figure 1: A gapped repeat σ in w.

algorithms [12, 5], molecular biology [13], or text compression [22]. The simplest and best known example of repetitions is factors of the form uu, where u is a nonempty word. Such repetitions are called *squares*. A square uu is called *primitive* if u is primitive. The questions on the number of squares and effective searching of squares in words are well studied in the literature (see, e.g., [5, 4, 14]).

A repetition in a word is called *maximal* if this repetition cannot be extended to the left or to the right in the word by at least one letter with preserving its minimal period. More precisely, a repetition $r \equiv w[i..j]$ in w is called *maximal* if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. if $i > 1$, then $w[i-1] \neq w[i-1+p(r)]$,
2. if $j < n$, then $w[j+1-p(r)] \neq w[j+1]$.

Maximal repetitions are usually called *runs* in the literature. Since runs contain all the other repetitions in a word, the set of all runs can be considered as a compact encoding of all repetitions in the word which has many useful applications (see, for example, [7]). For any word w we will denote by $\mathrm{E}(w)$ the sum of exponents of all maximal repetitions in w. The following bound for $\mathrm{E}(w)$ is proved in [15].

Theorem 1 $\mathrm{E}(w) = O(n)$ *for any* w.

More precise upper bounds on $\mathrm{E}(w)$ were obtained in [6, 8, 2].

A natural generalization of squares is factors of the form uvu where u and v are nonempty words. We call such factors *gapped repeats*. In the gapped repeat uvu the first (second) factor u is called *the left (right) copy*, and v is called *the gap*. By *the period* of this gapped repeat we will mean the value $|u| + |v|$. For a gapped repeat σ we denote the length of copies of σ by $c(\sigma)$ and the period of σ by $p(\sigma)$ (see Fig. 1). By (u', u'') we will denote the gapped repeat with the left copy u' and the right copy u''. Not that gapped repeats may form the same segment but have different periods. Such repeats are considered as distinct, i.e. a gapped repeat is not specified by its start and end positions in the word because these positions are not sufficient for determining the both copies and the gap of the repeat. Analogously to repetitions, a gapped repeat $(w[i'..j'], w[i''..j''])$ in w is called *maximal* if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. if $i' > 1$, then $w[i'-1] \neq w[i''-1]$,



Figure 2: A maximal gapped repeat σ in w.

2. if $j'' < n$, then $w[j' + 1] \neq w[j'' + 1]$.

In other words, a gapped repeat in a word is maximal if its copies cannot be extended to the left or to the right in the word by at least one letter with preserving its period (see Fig. 2).

Let $f(x)$, $g(x)$ be functions from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < g(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{N}$. We call a gapped repeat uvu *f, g-gapped repeat* if $g(|u|) \leq |v| \leq f(|u|)$. To our knowledge, maximal f, g-gapped repeats were firstly investigated in [3] where it was shown that for computed in constant time functions f, g all maximal f, g-gapped can be found in a word of length n with time complexity $O(n \log n + S)$ where S is the size of output. An algorithm for finding in a word all gapped repeats with a fixed gap length in time $O(n \log d + S)$ where d is the gap length, n is the word length, and S is the size of output was proposed in [16]. f, g-gapped repeats is a natural generalization of gapped repeats σ such that $p(\sigma) \leq \alpha c(\sigma)$ for some $\alpha > 1$. Such gapped repeats which can be considered as a particular case of f, g-gapped repeats for $f(x) = (\alpha - 1)x$ and $g(x) = \min\{1, \alpha - 1\}$ are called *α-gapped repeats*. The notion of α-gapped repeats was introduced in [19] where it was proved that the number of maximal α-gapped repeats in a word of length n is bounded by $O(\alpha^2 n)$ and all maximal α-gapped repeats can be found in $O(\alpha^2 n)$ time for the case of integer alphabet. A new approach to computing α-gapped repeats was proposed in [10] in [10] where it was shown that the longest α-gapped repeat in a word of length n over an integer alphabet can be found in $O(\alpha n)$ time. In [23] an algorithm using an approach previously introduced in [1] is proposed for finding all maximal α-gapped repeats in $O(\alpha n + S)$ time where S is the output size, for a constant-size alphabet. Finally, in [9, 11] an asymptotically tight $O(\alpha n)$ bound on the number of maximal α-gapped repeats in a word of length n was independently proved and, moreover, algorithms for finding of all maximal α-gapped repeats in $O(\alpha n)$ time were proposed.

For any real x denote

$$|x|^+ = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x > 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \qquad |x|^- = \begin{cases} -x, & \text{if } x < 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

Let $f(x)$ be a function from $\mathbf{N}$ to $\mathbf{R}^+$. For each $x \in \mathbf{N}$ denote $\partial_f^+(x) = |f(x+1) - f(x)|^+$ and $\partial_f^-(x) = |f(x+1) - f(x)|^-$. Denote also $\sup_x\{\partial_f^+(x)\}$ ($\sup_x\{\partial_f^-(x)\}$) by ∂_f^+ (∂_f^-) if this supremum exists. Let $f(x)$, $g(x)$ be two function from $\mathbf{N}$ to $\mathbf{R}^+$ such that $f(x) \geq g(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbf{N}$. If the both values ∂_f^+ and ∂_g^- exist denote $\max\{\partial_f^+, \partial_g^-\}$ by $\partial_{f,g}^a$. If the both values ∂_f^- and ∂_g^+ exist

denote $\max\{\partial_f^-, \partial_g^+\}$ by $\partial_{f,g}^b$. Let either $\partial_{f,g}^a$ or $\partial_{f,g}^b$ exist. Then we define $\partial_{f,g}$ as $\min\{\partial_{f,g}^a, \partial_{f,g}^b\}$ if the both values $\partial_{f,g}^a$, $\partial_{f,g}^b$ exist; otherwise we define $\partial_{f,g}$ as the existing one from the values $\partial_{f,g}^a$, $\partial_{f,g}^b$. Denote also $\Delta_{f,g}(x) = \frac{1}{x}(f(x) - g(x)) \geq 0$ for each $x \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\Delta_{f,g} = \sup_x\{\Delta_{f,g}(x)\}$ if this supremum exists. In the paper we prove $O(n(1 + \max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$ bound on the number of maximal f, g-gapped repeats in a word of length n.

2 Auxiliary definitions and results

Further we will consider an arbitrary word $w = w[1]w[2]\dots w[n]$ of length n. We will use the following quite evident fact on maximal repetitions (see, e.g., [17][Lemma 8.1.3]).

Lemma 1 *Two distinct maximal repetitions with the same minimal period p can not have an overlap of length greater than or equal to p.*

It is not difficult also to prove this fact (see,e.g, [20][Proposition 1]).

Proposition 1 *If a square uu is primitive, for any two distinct occurrences v' and v'' of uu in w the inequality $|\mathrm{beg}(v') - \mathrm{beg}(v'')| \geq |u|$ holds.*

Since any repetition r contains as a prefix a primitive square with the period $p(r)$, Proposition 1 easily implies

Corollary 1 *For any two distinct occurrences v' and v'' of the same repetition r in w the inequality $|\mathrm{beg}(v') - \mathrm{beg}(v'')| \geq p(r)$ holds.*

For obtaining our bound on the number of considered repeats, we use the following classification of maximal gapped repeats introduced in [20]. We say that a maximal gapped repeat is *periodic* if the copies of this repeat are repetitions. The set of all periodic f, g-gapped repeats in the word w is denoted by $\mathcal{PP}_{f,g}$. A maximal gapped repeat is called *prefix* (*suffix*) *semiperiodic* if the copies of this repeat are not repetitions, but these copies have a periodic prefix (suffix) which length is not less than the half of the copies length. The longest periodic prefix in a copy of a prefix semiperiodic repeat is called *the periodic prefix* of this copy. The set of all prefix (suffix) semiperiodic f, g-gapped repeats in the word w is denoted by $\mathcal{PSP}_{f,g}$ ($\mathcal{SSP}_{f,g}$). A maximal gapped repeat is called *semiperiodic* if it is either prefix or suffixsemiperiodic. The set of all semiperiodic f, g-gapped repeats in the word w is denoted by $\mathcal{SP}_{f,g}$. Maximal gapped repeats which are neither periodic nor semiperiodic are called *ordinary*. The set of all ordinary f, g-gapped repeats in the word w is denoted by $\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}$.

3 Estimation of maximal f, g-gapped repeats

Further we assume that both the values $\partial_{f,g}$, $\Delta_{f,g}$ exist. First we estimate the number of periodic maximal f, g-gapped repeats in w. Let $\sigma \equiv (u', u'')$ be a repeat from $\mathcal{PP}_{f,g}$. Then the both copies u', u'' of σ are repetitions in w

which are extended respectively to some maximal repetitions r', r'' with the same minimal period in w. If r' and r'' are the same repetition r then we call σ *private* repeat. Otherwise σ is called *non-private*. The following bound on the number of private repeats is proved in [20][Corollary 4].

Proposition 2 *The number of private repeats in w is $O(n)$.*

Let $\sigma \equiv (u', u'')$ be a non-private repeat from $\mathcal{PP}_{f,g}$, i.e. r' and r'' are distinct repetitions. We will say that σ is *generated from left* by r' (*generated from right* by r'') if $|r'| \leq |r''|$ ($|r''| \leq |r'|$). We will say also that σ is *generated* by a repetition r if σ is generated from left or from right by r. Let p be the minimal period of r' and r''. Note that if $\mathrm{beg}(r') < \mathrm{beg}(u')$ and $\mathrm{beg}(r'') < \mathrm{beg}(u'')$ then

$$w[\mathrm{beg}(u') - 1] = w[\mathrm{beg}(u') + p - 1] = w[\mathrm{beg}(u'') + p - 1] = w[\mathrm{beg}(u'') - 1]$$

which contradicts that σ is maximal. So either $\mathrm{beg}(r') = \mathrm{beg}(u')$ or $\mathrm{beg}(r'') = \mathrm{beg}(u'')$. In an analogous way we have that either $\mathrm{end}(r') = \mathrm{end}(u')$ or $\mathrm{end}(r'') = \mathrm{end}(u'')$. Let σ be generated by the repetition r' (r''). If $\mathrm{beg}(r') < \mathrm{beg}(u')$ and $\mathrm{end}(r') > \mathrm{end}(u')$ (or $\mathrm{beg}(r'') < \mathrm{beg}(u'')$ and $\mathrm{end}(r'') > \mathrm{end}(u'')$) then, using above observations, we have $r'' \equiv u''$ ($r' \equiv u'$), so $|r''| < |r'|$ ($|r'| < |r''|$) which contradicts that σ is generated by r' (r''). Thus the only three following cases are possible.

1. $\mathrm{beg}(r') = \mathrm{beg}(u')$ and $\mathrm{end}(r') > \mathrm{end}(u')$ ($\mathrm{beg}(r'') = \mathrm{beg}(u'')$ and $\mathrm{end}(r'') > \mathrm{end}(u'')$);
2. $\mathrm{beg}(r') < \mathrm{beg}(u')$ and $\mathrm{end}(r') = \mathrm{end}(u')$ ($\mathrm{beg}(r'') < \mathrm{beg}(u'')$ and $\mathrm{end}(r'') = \mathrm{end}(u'')$);
3. $r' \equiv u'$ ($r'' \equiv u''$).

We will say that σ is *prefixly generated* by r' (r'') in case 1, *suffixly generated* by r' (r'') in case 2, and *totally generated* by r' (r'') in case 3. We denote the sets of all prefixly generated, suffixly generated and totally generated repeats from $\mathcal{PP}_{f,g}$ by $\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}$, $\mathcal{SPP}_{f,g}$ and $\mathcal{TPP}_{f,g}$ respectively. Thus, any non-private repeat from $\mathcal{PP}_{f,g}$ belongs to one of the sets $\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}$, $\mathcal{SPP}_{f,g}$, $\mathcal{TPP}_{f,g}$. We estimate separately the numbers of repeats in these sets.

Lemma 2 *Any maximal repetition r in w generates $O(1 + e(r)\Delta_{f,g})$ repeates from $\mathcal{TPP}_{f,g}$.*

Proof. Note that for any two repeats $\sigma_1 \equiv (r, u_1'')$, $\sigma_2 \equiv (r, u_2'')$ from $\mathcal{TPP}_{f,g}$ which are totally generated by r from right the restrictions

$$\mathrm{end}(r) + g(|r|) + 1 \leq \mathrm{beg}(u_1''), \mathrm{beg}(u_2'') \leq \mathrm{end}(r) + f(|r|) + 1$$

hold. Moreover, by Corollary 1, we have $|\mathrm{beg}(u_1'') - \mathrm{beg}(u_2'')| \geq p(r)$. Thus, the number of such repeats can not be greater than

$$1 + \frac{f(|r|) - g(|r|)}{p(r)} \leq 1 + \frac{|r|\Delta_{f,g}(|r|)}{p(r)} = 1 + e(r)\Delta_{f,g}(|r|) \leq 1 + e(r)\Delta_{f,g}.$$

By analogous way we obtain that the number of repeats from $\mathcal{TPP}_{f,g}$ which are totally generated by r from left is also not greater than $1 + e(r)\Delta_{f,g}$, so r generates no more than $2(1 + e(r)\Delta_{f,g})$ repeats from $\mathcal{TPP}_{f,g}$.

From Lemma 2, using Theorem 1, we obtain the following bound on $|\mathcal{TPP}_{f,g}|$.

Corollary 2 $|\mathcal{TPP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1 + \Delta_{f,g}))$.

Now we estimate $|\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}|$. Let r be a repetition in w which prefixly generates some repeat σ from $\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}$, i.e. one of copies of σ is contained in r, and the other copy is contained in another repetition r' with the same minimal period. Father we will say that σ is generated by r with the repetition r'.

Proposition 3 *Let r, r' be two repetitions in w. Then r prefixly generates with r' less than $e(r)$ repeats.*

Proof. We consider the case $\mathrm{beg}(r) < \mathrm{beg}(r')$, i.e. the case of repeats generated by r with r' from left (the case $\mathrm{beg}(r') < \mathrm{beg}(r)$ is considered analogously). Let $\sigma_1 \equiv (u_1, u_1')$, $\sigma_2 \equiv (u_2, u_2')$ be two such repeats, i.e. u_1, u_2 are prefixes of r and u_1', u_2' are suffixes of r. Note that in this case repeats σ_1, σ_2 are uniquely defined by the respective positions $\mathrm{end}(u_1)$, $\mathrm{end}(u_2)$. Since u_1, u_2 are repetitions with the minimal period $p(r)$, i.e. $|u_1|, |u_2| \geq 2p(r)$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} w[\mathrm{end}(u_1) - 2p(r) + 1..\mathrm{end}(u_1)] &= w[\mathrm{end}(r') - 2p(r) + 1..\mathrm{end}(r')] \\ &= w[\mathrm{end}(u_2) - 2p(r) + 1..\mathrm{end}(u_2)], \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $w[\mathrm{end}(u_1) - 2p(r) + 1..\mathrm{end}(u_1)]$ and $w[\mathrm{end}(u_2) - 2p(r) + 1..\mathrm{end}(u_2)]$ are equal primitive squares with the period $p(r)$. Hence, by Proposition 1, we have $|\mathrm{end}(u_1) - \mathrm{end}(u_2)| \geq p(r)$. Moreover, since u_1, u_2 are prefixes of r, the restrictions

$$\mathrm{beg}(r) + 2p(r) - 1 \leq \mathrm{end}(u_1), \mathrm{end}(u_2) \leq \mathrm{end}(r)$$

hold. Thus the number of considered repeats is bounded by

$$1 + \frac{|r| - 2p(r)}{p(r)} < \frac{|r|}{p(r)} = e(r).$$

Let $\sigma \equiv (u', u'')$ be a repeat from $\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}$ prefixly generated from left by a maximal repetition r, and v be the gap of σ. Note that

$$\mathrm{beg}(u'') = \mathrm{beg}(u') + |u'| + |v| = \mathrm{beg}(r) + |u'| + |v|.$$

So, since $g(|u'|) \leq |v| \leq f(|u'|)$, we have

$$\mathrm{beg}(r) + |u'| + g(|u'|) \leq \mathrm{beg}(u'') \leq \mathrm{beg}(r) + |u'| + f(|u'|).$$

Thus, taking into account $2p(r) \leq |u'| < |r|$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{beg}(r) + \min_{2p(r) \leq x < |r|}(x + g(x)) \leq \mathrm{beg}(u'') \leq \mathrm{beg}(r) + \max_{2p(r) \leq x < |r|}(x + f(x)).$$

We conlude this fact, denoting $\mathrm{beg}(r) + \min_{2p(r) \leq x < |r|}(x + g(x))$ by $\mathrm{lb}_g(r)$ and $\mathrm{beg}(r) + \max_{2p(r) \leq x < |r|}(x + f(x))$ by $\mathrm{ub}_f(r)$.

Proposition 4 *Let $\sigma \equiv (u', u'')$ be a repeat from $\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}$ prefixly generated from left by a maximal repetition r. Then*

$$\mathrm{lb}_g(r) \le \mathrm{beg}(u'') \le \mathrm{ub}_f(r).$$

For any maximal repetition r in w denote by $\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)$ the set of all maximal repetitions r' such that r prefixly generates from left with r' at least one repeat from $\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}$. Note that all repetitions from $\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)$ have the minimal period $p(r)$.

Proposition 5 *Let r be a maximal repetition in w. Then for any repetition r' from $\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)$ except, perhaps, one repetition the conditions*

$$\mathrm{lb}_g(r) \le \mathrm{beg}(r') \le \mathrm{ub}_f(r)$$

hold.

Proof. Let r' be a repetition from $\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)$, and $\sigma \equiv (u, u')$ be a repeat prefixly generated from left by r with r'. By Proposition 4 we obtain $\mathrm{beg}(u') \le \mathrm{ub}_f(r)$, so $\mathrm{beg}(r') \le \mathrm{ub}_f(r)$ since u' is contained in r'. Thus for any repetition r' from $\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)$ we have $\mathrm{beg}(r') \le \mathrm{ub}_f(r)$. Now let r'_1, r'_2 be two different repetitions from $\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)$ such that $\mathrm{beg}(r'_1), \mathrm{beg}(r'_2) < \mathrm{lb}_g(r)$, and $\sigma_1 \equiv (u_1, u'_1)$, $\sigma_2 \equiv (u_2, u'_2)$ be repeats prefixly generated from left by r with r'_1 and r'_2 respectively. Without loss of generality we assume that $\mathrm{end}(u'_1) \le \mathrm{end}(u'_2)$, so $\mathrm{end}(u'_1) \le \mathrm{end}(r'_2)$. On the other hand, by Proposition 4 we have $\mathrm{lb}_g(r) \le \mathrm{beg}(u'_1)$, so $\mathrm{beg}(r'_2) < \mathrm{beg}(u'_1)$. Thus u'_1 is contained in r'_2, so u'_1 is contained in the overlap of r'_1 and r'_2. Recall that u'_1 is a repetition with the minimal period $p(r)$, so $|u'_1| \ge 2p(r)$. Therefore, r'_1 and r'_2 have the overlap of length greater than $p(r)$ which contradicts Lemma 1. Thus no more than one repetition r' from $\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)$ can satisfy the inequality $\mathrm{beg}(r'_1) < \mathrm{lb}_g(r)$.

Proposition 6 *For any maximal repetition r in w the bound*

$$\mathrm{ub}_f(r) - \mathrm{lb}_g(r) < |r|(1 + \Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g})$$

holds.

Proof. Let x^* be such that $2p(r) \le x^* < |r|$ and $\mathrm{beg}(r) + x^* + f(x^*) = \mathrm{ub}_f(r)$, and x_* be such that $2p(r) \le x^* < |r|$ and $\mathrm{beg}(r) + x_* + g(x_*) = \mathrm{lb}_g(r)$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{ub}_f(r) - \mathrm{lb}_g(r) = (x^* - x_*) + (f(x^*) - g(x_*)) < |r| + (f(x^*) - g(x_*)).$$

Without loss of generality we will assume that $x_* \le x^*$ (the case of $x_* \ge x^*$ is considered analogously). We consider separately two possible cases for the value $\partial_{f,g}$.

a) Let $\partial_{f,g} = \partial^a_{f,g}$. Then

$$f(x^*) - g(x_*) \le (f(x_*) - g(x_*)) + |f(x^*) - f(x_*)|^+$$

where

$$f(x_*) - g(x_*) = x_* \cdot \Delta_{f,g}(x_*) < |r|\Delta_{f,g}$$

and

$$|f(x^*) - f(x_*)|^+ \le \partial^+_f(x^* - x_*) \le \partial^a_{f,g}(x^* - x_*) < |r|\partial^a_{f,g} = |r|\partial_{f,g}.$$

Thus $f(x^*) - g(x_*) < |r|(\Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g})$, so

$$\mathrm{ub}_f(r) - \mathrm{lb}_g(r) < |r|(1 + \Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g}).$$

b) Let $\partial_{f,g} = \partial^b_{f,g}$. Then

$$f(x^*) - g(x_*) \le (f(x^*) - g(x^*)) + |g(x^*) - g(x_*)|^+$$

where

$$f(x^*) - g(x^*) = x^* \cdot \Delta_{f,g}(x^*) < |r|\Delta_{f,g}$$

and

$$|g(x^*) - g(x_*)|^+ \le \partial^+_g(x^* - x_*) \le \partial^b_{f,g}(x^* - x_*) < |r|\partial^b_{f,g} = |r|\partial_{f,g}.$$

Thus $f(x^*) - g(x_*) < |r|(\Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g})$, so

$$\mathrm{ub}_f(r) - \mathrm{lb}_g(r) < |r|(1 + \Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g}).$$

Corollary 3 *For any maximal repetition r in w the bound $|\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)| = O(1+\Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g})$ is valid.*

Proof. Let r', r'' be two maximal repetitions from $\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)$ such that $\mathrm{beg}(r') \le \mathrm{beg}(r'')$. Since r' and r'' have the same minimal period $p(r)$ and $|r'| \ge |r|$ by the definition of $\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)$, by Lemma 1 we note that the overlap of r' and r'' is less than $p(r)$, so

$$\mathrm{beg}(r'') - \mathrm{beg}(r') > |r'| - p(r) \ge |r| - p(r) \ge |r|/2.$$

Therefore, we conclude that the number of repetitions r' from $\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)$ such that

$$\mathrm{lb}_g(r) \le \mathrm{beg}(r') \le \mathrm{ub}_f(r)$$

is not greater than $1 + \frac{\mathrm{ub}_f(r) - \mathrm{lb}_g(r)}{|r|/2}$ which is less that

$$1 + \frac{|r|(1 + \Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g})}{|r|/2} = O(1 + \Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g})$$

by Proposition 6. Thus we obtain that $|\mathcal{GPR}_{f,g}(r)| = O(1 + \Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g})$ by Proposition 5.

Lemma 3 *The number of generated from left repeats from $\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}$ is $O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g},\Delta_{f,g}\}))$.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 3 and Corollary 3 that any maximal repetition r in w generates from left $O(e(r)(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g},\Delta_{f,g}\}))$ repeats from $\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}$, so $O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g},\Delta_{f,g}\}))$ bound in the lemma statement follows from Theorem 1.

In an analogous way we can prove that the number of generated from right repeats from $\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}$ is also $O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g},\Delta_{f,g}\}))$. So we obtain the following bound on $|\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}|$.

Corollary 4 $|\mathcal{PPP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g},\Delta_{f,g}\}))$.

In an analogous way we can also prove that $|\mathcal{SPP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g},\Delta_{f,g}\}))$. Thus, using Proposition 2 and Corollaries 2 and 4, we obtain the following bound on $|\mathcal{PP}_{f,g}|$.

Corollary 5 $|\mathcal{PP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g},\Delta_{f,g}\}))$.

Now we estimate the number of semiperiodic maximal f,g-gapped repeats in w. Let $\sigma \equiv (u',u'')$ be a repeat from $\mathcal{PSP}_{f,g}$. Denote by π' (π'') the periodic prefixes of u' (u''). Note that these prefixes are extended respectively to some distinct maximal repetitions r', r'' with the same minimal period such that $\mathrm{end}(\pi') = \mathrm{end}(r')$, $\mathrm{end}(\pi'') = \mathrm{end}(r'')$. We will say that σ is *generated from left* by r' with r'' (*generated from right* by r'' with r') if $|r'| \le |r''|$ ($|r''| \le |r'|$). Let σ be generated from left by r' with r''. Note that

$$\mathrm{beg}(u'') = \mathrm{end}(\pi') + 1 + (|u'| - |\pi'|) + |v| = \mathrm{end}(r') + 1 + (|u'| - |\pi'|) + |v|,$$

where $g(|u'|) \le |v| \le f(|u'|)$ and $1 \le |u'| - |\pi'| \le |\pi'| \le |r'|$. Note also that $1 < |u'| \le 2|\pi'| \le 2|r'|$. Therefore,

$$1 + (|u'| - |\pi'|) + |v| \ge 2 + g(|u'|) \ge 2 + \min_{1<x\le 2|r'|} g(x)$$

and

$$1 + (|u'| - |\pi'|) + |v| \le 1 + |r'| + f(|u'|) \le 1 + |r'| + \max_{1<x\le 2|r'|} f(x).$$

Denoting $\mathrm{beg}(r') + 2 + \min_{1<x\le 2|r'|} g(x)$ by $\mathrm{lp}_g(r')$ and $\mathrm{beg}(r') + 1 + |r'| + \max_{1<x\le 2|r'|} f(x)$ by $\mathrm{up}_f(r)$, we obtain the following fact.

Proposition 7 *Let $\sigma \equiv (u',u'')$ be a repeat from $\mathcal{PSP}_{f,g}$ generated from left by a maximal repetition r. Then*

$$\mathrm{lp}_g(r) \le \mathrm{beg}(u'') \le \mathrm{up}_f(r).$$

For any maximal repetition r in w denote by $\mathcal{GSR}_{f,g}(r)$ the set of all maximal repetitions r' such that r generates from left with r' at least one repeat from $\mathcal{PSP}_{f,g}$. Note that all repetitions from $\mathcal{GSR}_{f,g}(r)$ have the minimal period $p(r)$. Analogously to Proposition 5, we can prove the following statement.

Proposition 8 *Let r be a maximal repetition in w. Then for any repetition r' from $\mathcal{GSR}_{f,g}(r)$ except, perhaps, one repetition the conditions*

$$\mathrm{lp}_g(r) \le \mathrm{beg}(r') \le \mathrm{up}_f(r)$$

hold.

Proposition 9 *For any maximal repetition r in w the bound*

$$\mathrm{up}_f(r) - \mathrm{lp}_g(r) < |r|(1 + 2\Delta_{f,g} + 2\partial_{f,g})$$

holds.

Proof. Let $x^*, x_*, 1 < x^*, x_* \le 2|r|$, be such that $f(x^*) = \max_{1<x\le 2|r'|} f(x)$ and $g(x_*) = \min_{1<x\le 2|r'|} g(x)$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{up}_f(r) - \mathrm{lp}_g(r) = |r| - 1 + (f(x^*) - g(x_*)) < |r| + (f(x^*) - g(x_*)).$$

Without loss of generality we will assume that $x_* \le x^*$ (the case of $x_* \ge x^*$ is considered analogously). We consider separately two possible cases for the value $\partial_{f,g}$.

a) Let $\partial_{f,g} = \partial^a_{f,g}$. Then

$$f(x^*) - g(x_*) \le (f(x_*) - g(x_*)) + (f(x^*) - f(x_*))$$

where

$$f(x_*) - g(x_*) = x_* \cdot \Delta_{f,g}(x_*) \le 2|r|\Delta_{f,g}$$

and

$$f(x^*) - f(x_*) \le \partial^+_f(x^* - x_*) \le \partial^a_{f,g}(x^* - x_*) < 2|r|\partial^a_{f,g} = 2|r|\partial_{f,g}.$$

Thus $f(x^*) - g(x_*) < |r|(2\Delta_{f,g} + 2\partial_{f,g})$, so

$$\mathrm{up}_f(r) - \mathrm{lp}_g(r) < |r|(1 + 2\Delta_{f,g} + 2\partial_{f,g}).$$

b) Let $\partial_{f,g} = \partial^b_{f,g}$. Then

$$f(x^*) - g(x_*) = (f(x^*) - g(x^*)) + (g(x^*) - g(x_*))$$

where

$$f(x^*) - g(x^*) = x^* \cdot \Delta_{f,g}(x^*) \le 2|r|\Delta_{f,g}$$

and

$$g(x^*) - g(x_*) \le \partial^+_g(x^* - x_*) \le \partial^b_{f,g}(x^* - x_*) < 2|r|\partial^b_{f,g} = 2|r|\partial_{f,g}.$$

Thus $f(x^*) - g(x_*) < |r|(2\Delta_{f,g} + 2\partial_{f,g})$, so

$$\mathrm{up}_f(r) - \mathrm{lp}_g(r) < |r|(1 + 2\Delta_{f,g} + 2\partial^b_{f,g}).$$

Analogously to Corollary 3, from propositions 8 and 9 we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6 *For any maximal repetition r in w the bound $|\mathcal{GSR}_{f,g}(r)| = O(1+\Delta_{f,g}+\partial_{f,g})$ is valid.*

Lemma 4 *The number of generated from left repeats from $\mathcal{PSP}_{f,g}$ is $O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$.*

Proof. Let r', r'' be maximal repetitions in w such that r'' is contained in $\mathcal{GSR}_{f,g}(r')$, i.e. there exists a repeat $\sigma \equiv (u', u'')$ generated from left by r' with r''. Let π', π'' be the periodic prefixes of u' and u'' respectively, i.e. $u' \equiv \pi'\nu'$, $u' \equiv \pi''\nu''$ for some suffixes ν', ν'' of u' and u''. Since $\mathrm{end}(\pi') = \mathrm{end}(r')$ and $\mathrm{end}(\pi'') = \mathrm{end}(r'')$, we can easily see that π', π'' are the longest common suffixes of $w[1..\mathrm{end}(r')]$ and $w[1..\mathrm{end}(r'')]$ respectively, and ν', ν'' are the longest common prefixes of $w[\mathrm{end}(r')+1..n]$ and $w[\mathrm{end}(r'')+1..n]$ respectively. Thus, σ is defined uniquely by r' and r'', so r' can generate from left with r'' only one repeat. Therefore, for any maximal repetition r' in w the number of of generated by r' from left repeats from $\mathcal{PSP}_{f,g}$ is $|\mathcal{GSR}_{f,g}(r)|$ which is $O(1+\Delta_{f,g}+\partial_{f,g})$ by Corollary 6. Therefore, $O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$ bound on the total number of generated from left repeats from $\mathcal{PSP}_{f,g}$ follows from Theorem 1.

In an analogous way we can prove that the number of generated from right repeats from $\mathcal{PSP}_{f,g}$ is also $O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$. Thus we obtain the same bound on $|\mathcal{PSP}_{f,g}|$.

Corollary 7 $|\mathcal{PSP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$.

In an analogous way we can also prove that $|\mathcal{SSP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$. Thus we obtain the same bound on $|\mathcal{SP}_{f,g}|$.

Corollary 8 $|\mathcal{SP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$.

For estimating the number of ordinary maximal f,g-gapped repeats in w we use an improvement of approach proposed in [18, 20]. We consider triples of positive integers (i,j,c). We call such triples *points*. For any two points (i',j',c'), (i'',j'',c'') we say that the point (i',j',c') *covers from above* (*covers from below*) the point (i'',j'',c'') if $i' \le i'' \le i' + c'/6$, $j' \le j'' \le j' + c'/6$, $c' \ge c'' \ge \frac{2c'}{3}$ ($c' \le c'' \le \frac{3c'}{2}$). We represent any maximal repeat $\sigma \equiv (u', u'')$ from $\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}$ by the point (i,j,c) where $i = \mathrm{beg}(u')$, $j = \mathrm{beg}(u')$ and $c = c(\sigma) = |u'| = |u''|$. It is obvious that σ is uniquely defined by the values i, j and c, so two different repeats from $\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}$ can not be represented by the same point. A point is *covered from above* (*covered from below*) by σ if this point is covered from above (covered from below) by the point representing σ. By $V^a[\sigma]$ ($V^b[\sigma]$) we denote the set of all points covered from above (covered from below) by the repeat σ.

We will call two factors u_1, u_2 in w *strongly overlapped* if either $\mathrm{beg}(u_1) \le \mathrm{beg}(u_2) \le \mathrm{beg}(u_1) + |u_1|/6$ or $\mathrm{beg}(u_2) \le \mathrm{beg}(u_1) \le \mathrm{beg}(u_2) + |u_2|/6$. The proof of the following lemma can be easily deduced from the proof of Lemma 3 in [9] but for the sake of clearness we present this proof.

Lemma 5 *Let $\sigma_1 \equiv (u_1', u_1'')$, $\sigma_2 \equiv (u_2', u_2'')$ be two different maximal gapped repeats in w such that $c(\sigma_1) \le c(\sigma_2) \le \frac{3}{2}c(\sigma_1)$, the copies u_1', u_2' are strongly overlapped, and the copies u_1'', u_2'' are strongly overlapped. Then either σ_1 or σ_2 is not an ordinary repeat.*

Proof. Denote $c_1 = c(\sigma_1)$, $c_2 = c(\sigma_2)$, $p_1 = p(\sigma_1)$, $p_2 = p(\sigma_2)$, and $d = |p_1 - p_2|$. Since σ_1 and σ_2 have overlapped left copies, in the case of $p_1 = p_2$ these repeats must coincide due to their conditions of maximality. Thus, $p_1 \ne p_2$, i.e. $d > 0$. Note that $p_1 = \mathrm{beg}(u_1'') - \mathrm{beg}(u_1')$, $p_2 = \mathrm{beg}(u_2'') - \mathrm{beg}(u_2')$. Hence, since both the copies u_1', u_2' and the copies u_1'', u_2'' are strongly overlapped, we have

$$p_1 - |u_1'|/6 - |u_2''|/6 \le p_2 \le p_1 + |u_2'|/6 + |u_1''|/6,$$

so $0 < d \le (c_1 + c_2)/6 \le \frac{5c_1}{12}$.

First consider the case when one of the copies u_1', u_2' is contained in the other, i.e. u_1' is contained in u_2'. In this case, u_2'' contains some factor $\widehat{u}_1''$ corresponding to the factor u_1' in u_2'. Since $\mathrm{beg}(u_1'') - \mathrm{beg}(u_1') = p_1$, $\mathrm{beg}(\widehat{u}_1'') - \mathrm{beg}(u_1') = p_2$ and $u_1'' = \widehat{u}_1'' = u_1'$, we have

$$|\mathrm{beg}(u_1'') - \mathrm{beg}(\widehat{u}_1'')| = d,$$

so d is a period of u_1'' such that $d \le \frac{5}{12}c_1 = \frac{5}{12}|u_1''|$. Thus, u_1'' is periodic which contradicts that σ_1 is not periodic.

Now consider the case when u_1', u_2' are not contained in one another. Denote by z' the overlap of u_1' and u_2'. Let z' be a suffix of u_l' and a prefix of u_r' where $l, r = 1, 2$, $l \ne r$. Then u_l'' contains a suffix z'' corresponding to the suffix z' in u_l', and u_r'' contains a prefix $\widehat{z}''$ corresponding to the prefix z' in u_r'. Since $\mathrm{beg}(z'') - \mathrm{beg}(z') = p_l$, $\mathrm{beg}(\widehat{z}'') - \mathrm{beg}(z') = p_r$ and $z'' = \widehat{z}'' = z'$, we have

$$|\mathrm{beg}(z'') - \mathrm{beg}(\widehat{z}'')| = |p_l - p_r| = d,$$

therefore d is a period of z'. Note that in this case

$$\mathrm{beg}(u_l') < \mathrm{beg}(u_r') \le \mathrm{beg}(u_l') + c_l/6,$$

therefore $0 < \mathrm{beg}(u_r') - \mathrm{beg}(u_l') \le c_l/6$. Thus

$$|z'| = c_l - (\mathrm{beg}(u_r') - \mathrm{beg}(u_l')) \ge \frac{5}{6}c_l \ge \frac{5}{6}c_1.$$

From $d \le \frac{5}{12}c_1$ and $|z'| \ge \frac{5}{6}c_1$ we obtain $d \le |z'|/2$. Thus, z' is a periodic suffix of u_l' such that $|z'| \ge \frac{5}{6}|u_l'|$, so σ_l is either suffix semiperiodic or periodic, i.e. σ_l is not an ordinary repeat.

Note that if two different maximal gapped repeats $\sigma_1 \equiv (u'_1, u''_1)$, $\sigma_2 \equiv (u'_2, u''_2)$ in w where $c(\sigma_1) \le c(\sigma_2)$ cover from above or from below the same point then $c(\sigma_2) \le \frac{3}{2}c(\sigma_1)$, and both the copies u'_1, u'_2 and the copies u''_1, u''_2 are strongly overlapped. So we can conclude from Lemma 5 that any point can not be covered from above or from below by two different ordinary repeats.

Corollary 9 *Two different ordinary repeats in w can not cover from above the same point.*

Corollary 10 *Two different ordinary repeats in w can not cover from below the same point.*

Denote by $\mathcal{CQ}^a$ ($\mathcal{CQ}^b$) the set of all points (i, j, c) covered from above (covered from below) by maximal gapped repeats from $\mathcal{P}_{f,g}$.

Lemma 6 *Let both the values ∂_f^+, ∂_g^- exist. Then for any point (i, j, c) from $\mathcal{CQ}^a$ the inequalities*

$$i + \frac{5}{6}c + g(c) - \frac{c}{2}\partial_g^- \le j \le i + \frac{7}{4}c + f(c) + \frac{c}{2}\partial_f^+$$

hold.

Proof. Let a point (i, j, c) belongs to $\mathcal{CQ}^a$, i.e. this point is covered from above by some point (i', j', c') representing the gapped repeat $\sigma' \equiv (u', u'')$ from $\mathcal{P}_{f,g}$ such that $i' = \mathrm{beg}(u')$, $j' = \mathrm{beg}(u'')$ and $c' = c(\sigma')$. Thus we have $i' \le i \le i' + c'/6$, $j' \le j \le j' + c'/6$, and $c' \ge c \ge \frac{2c'}{3}$. Since σ' is a f, g-gapped repeat, we have $j' \ge i' + c' + g(c')$, thus, taking into account $i' \ge i - c'/6$ and $c' \ge c$, we obtain $j' \ge i + \frac{5}{6}c + g(c')$. Taking into account $c' \ge c$, by definition of ∂_g^- we have $g(c) - g(c') \le \partial_g^-(c' - c)$. From $c \ge \frac{2c'}{3}$ we have also $c' - c \le c/2$. Thus $g(c') \ge g(c) - \partial_g^- \frac{c}{2}$, so

$$j \ge j' \ge i + \frac{5}{6}c + g(c) - \partial_g^- \frac{c}{2}.$$

Since σ' is a f, g-gapped repeat, we have also $j' \le i' + c' + f(c')$, so, taking into account $j \le j' + c'/6$, $c' \le \frac{3}{2}c$ and $i' \le i$, we obtain $j \le i + \frac{7}{4}c + f(c')$. Taking into account $0 \le c' - c \le c/2$, by definition of ∂_f^+ we have $f(c') - f(c) \le \partial_f^+(c' - c) \le \partial_f^+ \frac{c}{2}$. Thus

$$j \le i + \frac{7}{4}c + f(c) + \partial_f^+ \frac{c}{2}.$$

Lemma 7 *Let both the values ∂_f^-, ∂_g^+ exist. Then for any point (i, j, c) from $\mathcal{CQ}^b$ the inequalities*

$$i + \frac{5}{9}c + g(c) - \frac{c}{3}\partial_g^+ \le j \le i + \frac{7}{6}c + f(c) + \frac{c}{3}\partial_f^-$$

hold.

Proof. Let a point (i,j,c) belongs to $\mathcal{CQ}^b$, i.e. this point is covered from below by some point (i',j',c') representing the gapped repeat $\sigma' \equiv (u',u'')$ from $\mathcal{P}_{f,g}$ such that $i' = \mathrm{beg}(u')$, $j' = \mathrm{beg}(u'')$ and $c' = c(\sigma')$. Thus we have $i' \le i \le i' + c'/6$, $j' \le j \le j' + c'/6$, and $c' \le c \le \frac{3c'}{2}$. Since σ' is a f,g-gapped repeat, we have $j' \ge i' + c' + g(c')$, thus, taking into account $i' \ge i - c'/6$ and $c' \ge \frac{2}{3}c$, we obtain $j' \ge i + \frac{5}{9}c + g(c')$. Taking into account $c \ge c'$, by definition of ∂_g^+ we have $g(c) - g(c') \le \partial_g^+(c - c')$. From $c' \ge \frac{2}{3}c$ we have also $c - c' \le \frac{c}{3}$, so $g(c) - g(c') \le \partial_g^+ \frac{c}{3}$. Thus

$$j \ge j' \ge i + \frac{5}{9}c + g(c) - \partial_g^+ \frac{c}{3}.$$

We have also $j' \le i' + c' + f(c')$, so, taking into account $j \le j' + c'/6$, $c' \le c$ and $i' \le i$, we obtain $j \le i + \frac{7}{6}c + f(c')$. Taking into account $0 \le c - c' \le c/3$, by definition of ∂_f^- we have $f(c') - f(c) \le \partial_f^-(c - c') \le \partial_f^- \frac{c}{3}$. Thus

$$j \le i + \frac{7}{6}c + f(c) + \partial_f^- \frac{c}{3}.$$

Assign now to each point (i,j,c) the weight $\rho(i,j,c) = 1/c^3$, and for any finite set A of points define

$$\rho(A) = \sum_{(i,j,c)\in A} \rho(i,j,c) = \sum_{(i,j,c)\in A} \frac{1}{c^3}.$$

From Lemma 6 we obtain the following bound for $\rho(\mathcal{CQ}^a)$.

Corollary 11 *Let the value $\partial_{f,g}^a$ exist. Then $\rho(\mathcal{CQ}^a) = O(n(1 + \max\{\partial_{f,g}^a, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$.*

Proof. Since the value $\partial_{f,g}^a$ exist, the values ∂_f^+, ∂_g^- are defined. Denote $l(c,i) = i + \frac{5}{6}c + g(c) - \frac{c}{2}\partial_g^-$, $u(c,i) = i + \frac{7}{4}c + f(c) + \frac{c}{2}\partial_f^+$. By Lemma 6 each point (i,j,c) from $\mathcal{CQ}^a$ satisfies the restrictions $l(c,i) \le j \le u(c,i)$. Thus

$$\rho(\mathcal{CQ}^a) \le \sum_{c=1}^{n/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l(c,i)\le j\le u(c,i)} \frac{1}{c^3} \le \sum_{c=1}^{n/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{u(c,i) - l(c,i) + 1}{c^3}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u(c,i) - l(c,i) + 1}{c^3} &= \frac{1}{c^3}[1 + \frac{11}{12}c + (f(c) - g(c)) + \frac{c}{2}(\partial_f^+ + \partial_g^-)] \\ &< \frac{1}{c^3}[1 + c + c\Delta_{f,g} + c\partial_{f,g}^a] \le \frac{1}{c^2}[2 + \Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g}^a]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathcal{CQ}^a) &< [2 + \Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g}^a] \sum_{c=1}^{n/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{c^2} < [2 + \Delta_{f,g} + \partial_{f,g}^a] n \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c^2} \\ &= O(n(1 + \max\{\partial_{f,g}^a, \Delta_{f,g}\})). \end{aligned}$$

An analogous bound for $\rho(\mathcal{CQ}^b)$ can be obtained from Lemma 7.

Corollary 12 *Let the value $\partial^b_{f,g}$ exist. Then $\rho(\mathcal{CQ}^b) = O(n(1 + \max\{\partial^b_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$.*

Lemma 8 *Let the values $\partial^a_{f,g}$ exist. Then $|\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1+\max\{\partial^a_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$.*

Proof. Let σ be an arbitrary repeat from $\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}$ represented by a point (i', j', c'). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(V[\sigma]) &= \sum_{i'\le i\le i'+c'/6} \sum_{j'\le j\le j'+c'/6} \sum_{2c'/3\le c\le c'} \frac{1}{c^3} \\ &> \frac{c'^2}{36} \sum_{2c'/3\le c\le c'} \frac{1}{c^3}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the standard estimation for sums with integrals, one can show that $\sum_{2c'/3\le c\le c'} \frac{1}{c^3} \ge \frac{5}{32}\frac{1}{c'^2}$ for any c'. Thus for any σ from $\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}$

$$\rho(V[\sigma]) > \frac{c'^2}{36}\frac{5}{32}\frac{1}{c'^2} = \Omega(1).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}} \rho(V[\sigma]) = \Omega(|\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}|). \tag{1}$$

Note that any point covered by repeats from $\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}$ belongs to $\mathcal{CQ}_a$. On the other hand, by Lemma 6, each point of $\mathcal{CQ}_a$ can not be covered by two repeats from $\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}$. Thus

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}} \rho(V[\sigma]) \le \rho(\mathcal{CQ}_a),$$

so by Corollary 11

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}} \rho(V[\sigma]) = O(n(1 + \max\{\partial^a_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\})).$$

This relation together with the relation 1 implies

$$|\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1 + \max\{\partial^a_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\})).$$

Using Lemma 7 and Corollary 12, analogously to Lemma 8 we can obtain also the following bound for $|\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}|$.

Lemma 9 *Let the value $\partial^b_{f,g}$ exist. Then $|\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1+\max\{\partial^b_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$.*

We combine Lemma 8 and Lemma 9 together for estimating $|\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}|$.

Corollary 13 $|\mathcal{OP}_{f,g}| = O(n(1 + \max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$.

Summing up Corollaries 5, 8 and 13 together, we obtain the following upper bound on the number of maximal f, g-gapped repeats in w.

Theorem 2 *Let both the values $\partial_{f,g}$, $\Delta_{f,g}$ exist. Then a word w of length n contains $O(n(1 + \max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$ maximal f, g-gapped repeats.*

4 Conclusion

Recall that α-gapped repeats can be considered as a particular case of f, g-gapped repeats for $f(x) = (\alpha - 1)x$ and $g(x) = \min\{1, \alpha - 1\}$, so the obtained $O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$ bound on the number of maximal f, g-gapped repeats implies $O(\alpha n)$ bound obtained in [9] on the number of maximal α-gapped repeats. Note that in the paper we don't concern algorithmic questions of finding of maximal f, g-gapped repeats in words. However it would be interesting if there exists an algorithm for finding of all maximal f, g-gapped repeats in a word with the same $O(n(1+\max\{\partial_{f,g}, \Delta_{f,g}\}))$ time bound. Note also that even if the obtained bound is tight for some cases of words a further strengthening of this bound could be interesting.

References

[1] G. Badkobeh, M. Crochemore, Ch. Toopsuwan, Computing the Maximal-Exponent Repeats of an Overlap-Free String in Linear Time, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* **7608** (2012), 61–72.

[2] H. Bannai, T. I, S. Inenaga, Y. Nakashima, M. Takeda, K. Tsuruta, The "Runs" Theorem, http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.0263.

[3] G. Brodal, R. Lyngso, C. Pedersen, J. Stoye, Finding Maximal Pairs with Bounded Gap, *Journal of Discrete Algorithms* **1(1)** (2000), 77–104.

[4] M. Crochemore, An optimal algorithm for computing the repetitions in a word, *Information Processing Letters* **12** (1981), 244–250.

[5] M. Crochemore, W. Rytter, Squares, cubes, and time-space efficient string searching, *Algorithmica* **13** (1995), 405–425.

[6] M. Crochemore, L. Ilie, and L. Tinta, Towards a solution to the "runs" conjecture, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* **5029** (2008), 290–302.

[7] M. Crochemore, C. Iliopoulos, M. Kubica, J. Radoszewski, W. Rytter, T. Walen, Extracting powers and periods in a string from its runs structure, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* **6393** (2010), 258–269.

[8] M. Crochemore, M. Kubica, J. Radoszewski, W. Rytter, T. Walen, On the maximal sum of exponents of runs in a string, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* **6460** (2011), 10–19.

[9] M. Crochemore, R. Kolpakov, G. Kucherov, Optimal Bounds for Computing α-gapped Repeats, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* **9618** (2016), 245–255.

[10] P. Gawrychowski, F. Manea, Longest α-Gapped Repeat and Palindrome, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* **9210** (2015), 27–40.

[11] P. Gawrychowski, T. I, S. Inenaga, D. Koppl, F. Manea, Efficiently Finding All Maximal α-gapped Repeats, *Proceedings of 33rd Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2016)* (2016), 39:1–39:14.

[12] Z. Galil, J. Seiferas, Time-space optimal string matching, *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **26(3)** (1983), 280–294.

[13] D. Gusfield, Algorithms on Strings, Trees, and Sequences, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[14] D. Gusfield, J. Stoye, Linear time algorithms for finding and representing all the tandem repeats in a string, *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **69(4)** (2004), 525–546.

[15] R. Kolpakov, G. Kucherov, On Maximal Repetitions in Words, *Journal of Discrete Algorithms* **1(1)** (2000), 159–186.

[16] R. Kolpakov, G. Kucherov, Finding Repeats with Fixed Gap, *Proceedings of 7th International Symposium on String Processing and Information Retrieval (SPIRE'00)* (2000), 162–168.

[17] R. Kolpakov, G. Kucherov, Periodic structures in words, chapter for *the 3rd Lothaire volume Applied Combinatorics on Words*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[18] R. Kolpakov, On primary and secondary repetitions in words, *Theoretical Computer Science*, **418** (2012), 71–81.

[19] R. Kolpakov, M. Podolskiy, M. Posypkin, N. Khrapov, Searching of gapped repeats and subrepetitions in a word, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* **8486** (2014), 212–221.

[20] R. Kolpakov, M. Podolskiy, M. Posypkin, N. Khrapov, Searching of gapped repeats and subrepetitions in a word, submitted to *Journal of Discrete Algorithms*.
Preprint version: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.4055`.

[21] M. Lothaire, Combinatorics on Words, volume 17 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, Addison Wesley, 1983.

[22] J. Storer, Data compression: methods and theory, Computer Science Press, Rockville, MD, 1988.

[23] Y. Tanimura, Y. Fujishige, T. I, S. Inenaga, H. Bannai, M. Takeda, A Faster Algorithm for Computing Maximal α-gapped Repeats in a String // *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* **9309** (2015), 124–136.